-	Summary 9/06 through 4/07	Source: IRS (publicly disclosable)
		1 Advent TP provided TP
	TRupset over PCA contacting him at phone number found the	ough skip tracing for address 1P provided.
11	Lorenza Ballaction Practices Act Were Violateu.	
		osure statutes violated.
ľ	Call received by PCA. Caller provided all identifying information could hear the caller conversing with someone in the background at time.	und. The collector interrupted the caller and
		UNIVERSITIES TO STOUTE L'OTTIONNO L'OUE
ľ	that used for IRS work. Mail was opened at second facility ar	ad then appropriately forwarded to PCA facility
4	that used for IRS work. Mail was opened at second facility at	id then appropriately
	used for IRS work.	ad to BCA facility located at a separate location
	used for IRS work. TP used incorrect PO Box on envelope and mail was forward	toff in the mail room and then forwarded to PCA
5	from that used for IRS work. The mail was opened by PCA s	tall ill tile mail footh and thorrest to
	The initial contact letter sent to the TP was returned to us sta	mped as underiverable by the poor officer,
6	however, the letter had been removed from its original envelo	pe and only had the bar code strip attached.
4		
	TP directed mail to PCA address in another state. Mail was i	orwarded to another PCA location separate non
	that used for IRS work. Mail was opened at second facility a	nd appropriately forwarded to PCA facility used for
	to the terror regarding	g the installment agreement set up with the PCA.
Ì	The TP stated he considered the courtesy calls harassment	and would prefer only a call if there is a problem.
8	The TP stated he considered the country sale hards	
	N. a. P. S. Hills	
9	TP disputing liability. Third party caller stated that they were left on hold and PCA	was unable to accommodate Spanish speaker.
10	Third party caller stated that they were left on hold and to the	
···	Caller refused to authenticate and expressed feeling of haras	
11	1 Caller refused to authenticate and expressed reeling of mara-	Samon and Todoctor to tarties

	Taxpayer (11)
	P did not like the way PCA spoke to her; PCA was nasty; PCA put TP on hold for long periods of time; PCA
Īī	P did not like the way PCA spoke to her; PCA was nasty; PCA put 11 of hold to temp.
121	
407	Preceived a letter with another TP's letter stated motor.
14	P states rec'd call from PCA. PCA never stated redomination and address verified. In a subsequent contact
' ''	Noman called PCA and identified herself as TP by SSN. Name and address vermes the state of the s
15	w/caller the PCA employee learned 1F was in Fames and
13	TP. TP called and stated that PCA did not return his calls. TP wants an installment agreement. TP stated that if he
	TP called and stated that PCA did not return his calls. IP wants an installment agreement
16	does not receive call by Friday he will opt out. TP complains that PCA called 5 times per day totaling 150 harassing his parents whose contact info is on the
	TP complains that PCA called 5 times per day totaling 150 harassing his parents whose services
17	account
	account. Allegation made in complaint: Taxpayer with an economic hardship was laughed at by a PCA collection
10	representative.
	representative. TP stated that PCA called his wife repeatedly on the hour 10:00, 11:00, 12:00. Also called at 7:30 this morning. As he stated if he was not available at 10:00, odds are he wouldn't be available at 11:00. Also complained that As he stated if he was not available at 10:00, as to why they were calling.
10	As he stated if he was not available at 10.00, odds at 5 th available at 10.00, odds at
'"	As he stated if he was not available at 10.55, so why they were calling. they would not give his wife any indications as to why they were calling. They would not give his wife any indications as to why they were calling.
	they would not give his wife any indications as to why they were calling. PCA is not licensed to collect within the State of North Dakota, yet PCA attempted to collect from a Taxpayer
20	living in that state.
-	living in that state. In phone conversation with taxpayer's wife, husband said that PCA was harassing them, mostly during the in phone conversation with taxpayer's wife, husband said that PCA was harassing them, mostly during the
	The Mark Adving 18 Value of Control of Contr
23	Taxpayer was upset we were asking to verify ox had been social security number. Taxpayer was upset we asked him to provide his social security number.
25	Taxpayer was upset we asked him to provide his social security number. Taxpayer was upset we asked him to provide his social security number. He was also upset account was
-	Taxpaver was upset we asked fill to provide his seem and the seem and
26	
27	placed with PCA for collections. Taxpayer was upset we asked him to provide his social security number.
12/	







